

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FIL | ING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--------------------------|----------------|--------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/671,313 | 0: | 9/24/2003 | Stephen J. Miller | T-6060 8025 | |
| 34014 | 7590 | 12/23/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| CHEVRON P.O. BOX 60 | · - | O CORPORATIO | SAMPLE, DAVID R | | |
| SAN RAMON, CA 94583-0806 | | | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMB | |
| | • | | | 1755 | - |

DATE MAILED: 12/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|--|-------------------|
| | 10/671,313 | MILLER ET AL. | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| , | David Sample | 1755 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | <u> </u> | lress |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | |
| . ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of) | lailing or Transmission dated; month(s)) which expired on | . | |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (| Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); of | - | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | mpt at a proper reply | , to the non- |
| (d) No reply has been received. | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85). | 5). received on (with a Certification | ate of Mailing or Tra | nsmission dated |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | e of \$ is due. | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ 1 | | CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | ot been received. | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requal Allowability (PTO-37). | · | | |
| (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. | (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran | smission dated | _), which is |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received. | | | |
| . The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the assi | ignee of the entire inf | terest, or all of |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a repres | entative capacity und | der 37 CFR |
| of the decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim | | e the period for seek | ing court review |
| . ☐ The reason(s) below: | | | |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra | w the holding of abandonment under 37 (| David Sample Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1755 | promptly filed to |